

Prepared by the

# Office of Public School Construction

1130 K Street, Suite 400 Sacramento, California 95814 www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov

Luisa M. Park Karen McGagin

**Executive Officer Bruce B. Hancock** Assistant Executive Officer Deputy Executive Officer

# Historical Data

## Section 1 Apportionments from Proposition 1A: \$6,666,291,727

The information presented in this section represents all allocations of Proposition 1A funds from December 1998 through the date of this report. The amounts include financial, facility and excessive cost hardships as well as site acquisition, site development and projects which received a design and/or site only apportionment. Qualified Lease–Purchase projects which were grandfathered and received Proposition 1A funds are included. The figure also includes funds dedicated for class size reduction. Projects which received an apportionment, but were later rescinded, have been removed and the funding added to the remaining Proposition 1A Funds. Interest earned on the fund has also been added. Costs to administer the program are not included.

	New Construction	Modernization
Apportionments	\$3,561,379,886	\$2,631,980,203
Pupils Housed	337,712	919,326
Number of Projects	769	1,667

#### Class Size Reduction: \$472,931,638

The California Department of Education is responsible for the allocation of these funds. This figure includes site mitigation funds for Los Angeles Unified School District and Santa Ana Unified School District. A detailed report listing the districts apportioned can be found on the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) Web site at: http://www.opsc.dqs.ca.gov.

**Total Proposition 1A Apportionments**: \$6,666,291,727 (Proposition 1A funds released to districts with construction contracts is 90% of

the funds apportioned.)

**Remaining Proposition 1A Funds**: \$ 33,708,273 (Includes \$600,000 for New Construction.)

A detailed report listing the projects apportioned from Proposition 1A is posted monthly on the OPSC Web site at: http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov.

#### Section 2 Apportionments from Proposition 47: \$6,184,998,096

The information presented in this section represents all allocations of Proposition 47 funds from December 2002. The amounts include financial, facility and excessive cost hardships as well as site acquisition, site development and projects which received a design and/or site only apportionment. Costs to administer the program are not included.

	New Construction	Modernization
Apportionments	\$3,679,547,847	\$2,505,450,249
Pupils Housed	352,975	777,641
Number of Projects	806	1,540
Funds Released	\$2,294,926,366	\$899,143,375

**Total Proposition 47 Apportionments**: \$6,184,998,096 **Remaining Proposition 47 Funds**: \$5,215,001,904

A detailed report listing the projects apportioned from Proposition 47 is posted monthly on the OPSC Web site at: http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov.

#### Section 3 Average Value of Applications and Approved Per Month

This section details the average value of new construction and modernization applications processed to the State Allocation Board (SAB) from January 1999 through March 26, 2003. Does not include financial hardship.

New Construction Estimated average workload value of SAB approvals per month	\$102,085,402
Modernization Estimated average workload value of applications received per mon	th \$ 95,110,437
Total Average Value of SFP Applications Per Month	\$197,195,839

#### Section 4 Average Per Pupil Apportionment

The information presented in this section represents the average apportionment made to a new construction or modernization application. The average is developed from all construction application apportionments made from the inception of the School Facility Program (SFP) through the date of this report. The State share includes site development, site acquisition and excessive hardship costs and is only the State share of the total project cost. Partial apportionments for advance site and planning applications were not included in the average. A separate column shows the average cost of the State apportionment, which is the State share plus financial hardship.

New Construction	State Share	State Apportionment (State Share plus Financial Hardship)
Grades K—6 Grades 7—8 Grades 9—12	\$ 8,071 \$ 8,376 \$ 11,236	\$ 10,128 \$ 10,630 \$ 14,106
Total Average <sup>1</sup>	\$ 9,309	\$ 11,702
Modernization	State Share	State Apportionment (State Share plus Financial Hardship)
Grades K—6 Grades 7—8 Grades 9—12	\$ 2,565 \$ 2,697 \$ 3,563	\$ 2,635 \$ 2,770 \$ 3,667
Total Average 1	\$ 2,916	\$ 3,001

**Note**: To calculate the average total project cost (State share plus district match), multiply the figure in the State share column by 2 for new construction and by 1.25 for modernization.

## Section 4.1 Administrative Expenses Funded from Proposition 1A

The State Allocation Board incurs expenses for the administration of the School Facility Program and the apportionment and distribution of Proposition 1A funds. The costs consist of the following categories:

**Administrative Costs**: Costs associated with staffing provided by the Office of Public School Construction and the California Department of Education, School Facilities Planning Division.

**Pooled Money Investment Fund (PMIF)**: The State Allocation Board borrows cash from the state PMIF in order to make fund releases to eligible, approved SFP applications. When the State Treasurer subsequently sells bonds made available from Proposition 1A, the PMIF loans are retired. The interest charged on the PMIF loans is partially off-set by interest earned on Proposition 1A funds.

Total to Date

Dorcont to Dato

State Controller and State Treasurer: Costs to compensate these agencies for services related to fund releases and bond sales.

#### Administrative Expenses Funded from Proposition 1A

	וטנמו נט שמנפ	reiteilt to Date
Administrative Costs	\$ 38,021,813	0.57%
Pooled Money Investment Fund	8,771,781	0.13%
State Controller and State Treasurer	1,996,209	0.03%
Total	\$ 48,789,803	0.73%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Total average is found by dividing all SFP construction application apportionments by the total number of pupils served.

# Applications Awaiting Funding

#### Section 5 Applications Awaiting Funding as of March 26, 2003: \$913,073,706

This section represents the State apportionment cost of all projects for new construction and modernization in the OPSC that have been received, but have not yet been funded. The figures include financial, facility and excessive cost hardships, site development, site acquisition costs and separate site and/or design applications.

#### Workload

Total Applications Awaiting Funding	\$ 913,073,706
Modernization	\$ 433,247,842
New Construction	\$ 479,825,864

**Workload**... All projects for new construction and modernization that have been accepted for processing, but have not yet been submitted to the SAB. These costs have not been validated and may increase or decrease.

A detailed workload report listing the projects is posted bi-weekly on the OPSC Web site at: http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov. The workload totals in this report may vary with the workload totals on the Web site because they reflect information available on different dates.

#### Section 6 Eligibility Applications on File as of March 26, 2003

This section details the total eligibility represented by SFP eligibility applications filed, processed, and approved by the SAB. Applications received but not processed are not included. The eligibility is expressed as the number of pupils for which the district may request new construction or modernization funding. The data is based on five year enrollment projections. It is adjusted when a new construction or modernization funding application is approved which utilizes a portion of the eligibility. Column 1 is the eligibility for which no design or new construction applications have been filed. Column 2 is the eligibility for which design funding applications have been approved by the SAB, but for which no new construction or modernization funding applications have been filed. The total reflects eligibility on file for which future new construction or modernization funding applications may be filed. See Section 7 for a calculation of the potential cost of this eligibility.

New Construction	Column 1	Column 2	Total Pupils
Grades K—6	444,078	59,045	503,123
Grades 7—8	188,668	11,466	200,134
Grades 9–12	425,644	25,213	450,857
Total Pupils	1,058,390	95,724	1,154,114
Modernization	Column 1	Column 2	Total Pupils
Grades K—6	541,155	22,677	563,832
Grades 7—8	213,717	10,221	223,938
Grades 9–12	336,916	18,103	355,019
Total Pupils	1,091,788	51,001	1,142,789

## Section 7 Cost of Eligibility Applications Approved as of March 26, 2003: \$17,287,868,269

This section represents the total State share of eligibility applications on file with the OPSC. Explanations of the assumptions used are found in Part A through D.

# **New Construction**

Part A reflects approved new construction eligibility (Section 6, Column 1) times the average State apportionment, including financial hardship (Section 4). Part B reflects approved new construction eligibility for projects which have approved design apportionments, but are eligible for the remaining construction apportionment (Section 6, Column 2). Since design only projects are financial hardship and have received 20 percent of the total project cost, it is assumed that the State will fund the remaining 80 percent of the total project cost in the future.

# Part A. New Construction Eligibility

	Pupils (Section 6, Col 1)		Average State Apportionmen (Section 4)	t		
Grades K—6	444,078	×	\$10,128		=	\$ 4,497,621,984
Grades 7–8	188,668	×	\$10,630		=	\$ 2,005,540,840
Grades 9–12	425,644	×	\$14,106		=	\$ 6,004,134,264
	1,058,390			Total New Construction Grant		\$ 12,507,297,088

#### Part B. New Construction Eligibility – Projects with Design Approvals

	Pupils (Section 6, Col 2)		Average State Apportionme (Section 4)	nt					
Grades K—6	59,045	×	\$ 8,071	×	2	×	80%	=	\$ 762,483,512
Grades 7–8	11,466	X	\$ 8,376	$\times$	2	×	80%	=	\$ 153,662,746
Grades 9–12	25,213	$\times$	\$11,236	$\times$	2	×	80%	=	\$ 453,269,229
	95,724		Total Design Only Costs					\$ 1,369,415,486	
Total New Construction Part A and B						\$ 13,876,712,574			

#### Modernization

Part C reflects approved modernization eligibility (Section 6, Modernization, Column 1) times the average State apportionment (Section 4). Part D reflects approved modernization eligibility for projects which have approved design apportionments, but are eligible for the remaining construction apportionment (Section 6, Modernization, Column 2). Since design only projects are financial hardship and have received 16 percent of the total project cost, it is assumed that they will continue to be financial hardship projects and that the State will fund the remaining 84 percent of the total project cost.

Part C. Modernization Eligibility

	Pupils (Section 6, Col 1)		Average State Apportionment (Section 4)			
Grades K—6	541,155	×	\$2,635		=	\$ 1,425,943,425
Grades 7–8	213,717	X	\$2,770		=	\$ 591,996,090
Grades 9–12	336,916	$\times$	\$3,667		=	\$ 1,235,470,972
	1,091,788			Total Modernization Grant		\$ 3,253,410,487

# Part D. Modernization Eligibility – Projects with Design Approvals

	Pupils (Section 6, Col 2)		Average State Apportionment (Section 4)				
Grades K—6	22,677	×	= \$2,565 80%	<	84%	=	\$ 61,074,830
Grades 7—8	10,221	×	\$2,697 80%	<	84%	=	\$ 28,944,339
Grades 9–12	18,103	×	\$3,563 80%	<	84%	=	\$ 67,726,038
	51,001				Total Design Only Costs		\$ 157,745,208
Total Modernization Part C and D				\$ 3,411,155,695			
			Total Cost of Eligib	oility	y Applications Approved		\$ 17,287,868,269